arXiv:1609.01965v1 [math-ph] 7 Sep 2016

SYMMETRIES OF LINE BUNDLES AND NOETHER THEOREM FOR TIME-DEPENDENT NONHOLONOMIC SYSTEMS

Božidar Jovanović

Abstract. We consider Noether symmetries of characteristic line bundles of nondegenerate 1-forms and of the associated perturbed systems. As an application, we study Noether symmetries of the mechanical systems under the influence of nonconservative forces and time-dependent holonomic and nonholonomic constraints.

1. Introduction

The Noether theorem on integrals related to invariant variational problems is one of the basic theorems in mechanics, both for finite and infinite-dimensional systems [**23, 25**]. There has been a lot of efforts on its generalization and our reference list [**1**]–[**28**] covers just a part of contributions for finite-dimensional systems.

Recently, we presented the problem for non-constrained systems through the perspective of contact geometry [**20**]. We consider Noether symmetries as symmetries of the characteristic line bundle of a nondegenerate 1-form $\mathcal{L} = \ker d\alpha$, that is, as symmetries that preserves the action functional $\int_\gamma \alpha$. In the case of time-dependent Hamiltonian systems, Noether symmetries are transformations that preserve Poincaré–Cartan (modulo addition of a closed 1-form) and, via Legendre transformation, this is similar to the notion of symmetry of Lagrangian systems given by Crampin in [**10**].

It appears that the above framework can be used for time-dependent systems with constraints and nonconservative forces, allowing a quite simple and transparent formulations of the momentum equation and the Noether theorem in their general forms. We briefly recall on the notion of Noether symmetries for systems defined by the sections of non-degenerate 1-forms studied in [**20**] and formulate the statements for perturbed systems (Theorems 2.2, 2.3, Section 2). In Section 3 we apply Theorem 2.2 and obtain a general momentum equation and Noether theorem for time-dependent nonholonomic systems subjected to the nonconservative forces (Theorems 3.1, 3.2). In particular, when we deal with the symmetries that are prolongation of time-dependent vector fields on the configuration space, we get a time-dependent variant of the so called gauge symmetries studied in [**2, 3, 13, 14**] (see Corollary 4.1) and moving energy integral given in [**14, 15**] (see Corollary 4.2).

2. Noether symmetries of characteristic line bundles

2.1. Dynamical systems defined by characteristic line bundles. Let (M, α) be a $(2n+1)$–dimensional manifold endowed with a 1-form α, such that $d\alpha$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 37J15, 37J60, 70F25, 70H25, 70H33.

has the maximal rank $2n$. The kernel of $d\alpha$ defines one dimensional distribution

$$\mathcal{L} = \bigcup_x \mathcal{L}_x, \qquad \mathcal{L}_x = \ker d\alpha|_x$$

of the tangent bundle TM called *characteristic line bundle*.

Also, at every point $x \in M$ we have the *horizontal space* $\mathcal{H}_x$ defined by $\mathcal{H}_x = \ker \alpha|_x$. In the case when $\alpha \neq 0$, $d\alpha \neq 0$ on M, then the collection of horizontal subspaces $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_x \mathcal{H}_x = \bigcup_x \ker \alpha|_x$ is a nonintegrable distribution of TM, called *horizontal distribution*. If, in addition, $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n \neq 0$, then α is a *contact form* and (M, α) is a *strictly contact manifold* [**24**]. The horizontal distribution $\mathcal{H}$ is also referred as *contact* distribution.

The integral curves $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ of the characteristic line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ are extremals of the action functional

$$A(\gamma) = \int_\gamma \alpha = \int_a^b \alpha(\dot{\gamma})dt \tag{2.1}$$

in the class of variations $\gamma_s(t)$, such that $\delta\gamma(a)$ and $\delta\gamma(b)$ are horizontal vectors. Here, a *variation* of a curve $\gamma : [a, b] \to M$ is a mapping: $\Gamma : [a, b] \times [0, \epsilon] \to M$, such that $\gamma(t) = \Gamma(t, 0)$, $t \in [a, b]$, $\delta\gamma(t) = \frac{\partial\Gamma}{\partial s}|_{s=0} \in T_{\gamma(t)}M$, and $\gamma_s(t) = \Gamma(t, s)$.

Consider the equation

$$\dot{x} = Z, \tag{2.2}$$

where Z is a section of $\mathcal{L}$. We shall say that the vector field ζ, i.e., the induced one-parameter group of diffeomeomorphisms g_s^ζ, is a *Noether symmetry* of the equation (2.2) if it preserves the 1-form α. Then, by the analogy with the classical formulation [**8, 25**], g_s^ζ preserves the action functional (2.1) .

Note that the vector field Z is also a section of the characteristic line bundle of a nondegenerate 1-form $\alpha + \beta$, where β is arbitrary closed 1-form on M. We refer to the vector field ζ as a *weak Noether symmetry* if we have the invariance of the perturbation of the 1-form α by a closed 1-form β modulo the differential of the function f:

$$L_\zeta(\alpha + \beta) = df. \tag{2.3}$$

The weak Noether symmetries imply conservation quantities described in the following statement (e.g., see [**20**]).

THEOREM 2.1. *Let ζ be a weak Noether symmetry that satisfies* (2.3). *Then:*
(i) *The function $J = i_\zeta(\alpha + \beta) - f$ is the first integral of* (2.2).
(ii) *The integral J is preserved under the flow of g_s^ζ as well: $dJ(\zeta) = 0$.*
(iii) *The commutator of vector fields $[Z, \zeta]$ is a section of $\mathcal{L}$, i.e., g_s^ζ permutes the trajectories of* (2.2) *modulo reparametrization.*

2.2. Noether symmetries of time-dependent Hamiltonian equations. The basic example is the extended phase space endowed with the Poincaré–Cartan 1-form

$$(M, \alpha) = (\mathbb{R} \times T^*Q, pdq - Hdt). \tag{2.4}$$

Namely, the sections of $\mathcal{L} = \ker d(pdq - Hdt)$ are of the form $Z_\mu = \mu(t, q, p)Z$, where Z is the vector field defining the Hamiltonian flow in the extended phase space (e.g., see [**1**])

$$Z = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_i \Big(\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial q^i} - \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\Big). \tag{2.5}$$

The action functional (2.1) for $\alpha = pdq - Hdt$ implies Poincare's variant of the Hamiltonian principle of least action [**1**], while Theorem 2.1 is a natural generalization of the Noether theorem (for the Lagrangian setting, see [**8, 10**]). The function $f(t, q, p)$ plays a role of a gauge term in the classical formulation [**8**]. Also, recently, a similar approach to the higher order Lagrangian problems is given in [**16**].

2.3. Noether integrals for perturbed systems. Consider a perturbation of the equation (2.2) by the vector field P, which is not a section of $\mathcal{L} = \ker d\alpha$

$$\dot{x} = Z + P. \tag{2.6}$$

Also, let $\mathcal{M} \subset M$ be an invariant submanifold of the system (2.6), so we can consider the system restricted to $\mathcal{M}$. The following observation, although quite elementary, is fundamental in our considerations.

THEOREM 2.2. *Assume that ζ satisfies* (2.3) *restricted to $\mathcal{M}$, i.e., it a week Noether symmetry of $\mathcal{L}|_{\mathcal{M}}$. Then the derivative of the Noether quantity $J = i_\zeta(\alpha + \beta) - f$ along the flow of* (2.6) *equals*

$$\frac{d}{dt}J\big|_{\mathcal{M}} = d\alpha(P, \zeta)|_{\mathcal{M}}. \tag{2.7}$$

PROOF. From the definition (2.3) and Cartan's formula $L_\zeta = i_\zeta \circ d + d \circ i_\zeta$, we have

$$i_\zeta d\alpha = -d(i_\zeta(\alpha + \beta)) + df = -dJ, \tag{2.8}$$

implying the statement:

$$L_{Z+P}J = i_{Z+P}(-i_\zeta d\alpha) = d\alpha(Z, \zeta) + d\alpha(P, \zeta) = d\alpha(P, \zeta). \tag{2.9}$$

□

COROLLARY 2.1. *Assume that P is $d\alpha$–orthogonal to the Noether symmetry field ζ restricted to $\mathcal{M}$. Then the Noether quantity $J|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the first integrals of the system* (2.6).

Next, from the proof of Theorem 2.2, we see that we can relax the definition of the vector field ζ.

THEOREM 2.3. *Assume that ζ is a vector field and γ is a 1-form satisfying*

$$L_\zeta(\alpha + \beta)|_{\mathcal{M}} = df + \gamma|_{\mathcal{M}}, \tag{2.10}$$

$$d\alpha(P, \zeta) + i_{Z+P}\gamma = 0|_{\mathcal{M}}. \tag{2.11}$$

Then the Noether quantity $J = i_\zeta(\alpha + \beta) - f$ is preserved along the flow of (2.6).

PROOF. Now, from the definition (2.10) and Cartan's formula we get

$$i_\zeta d\alpha = -d(i_\zeta(\alpha + \beta)) + df + \gamma = -dJ + \gamma.$$

Therefore

$$L_{Z+P}J = i_{Z+P}(-i_\zeta d\alpha) + i_{Z+P}\gamma = d\alpha(P, \zeta) + i_{Z+P}\gamma,$$

which proves the statement. □

Note that for $P = 0$, $\mathcal{M} = M$, Theorem 2.3 implies the following variant of the Noether statement: if ζ satisfies (3.12) modulo 1-form γ that annihilate the line bundle $\mathcal{L}$, the Noether function $J = i_\zeta(\alpha + \beta) - f$ is the first integral of (2.2).

3. Time-dependent systems with constraints and nonconservative forces

Consider a Lagrangian system (Q, L, F), where Q is a configuration space, $L(t, q, \dot{q})$ is a time-dependent Lagrangian, $L : \mathbb{R} \times TQ \to \mathbb{R}$, and F is a nonconservative force. Assume that a motion of the system is subjected to s, in general time-dependent, independent holonomic constraints

$$f^l(t, q) = 0, \qquad l = 1, \dots, s, \tag{3.1}$$

defining $(n-s)$-dimensional time-dependent constraint submanifold $\Sigma_t \subset Q$. Therefore, the velocities of the system satisfy the constraints

$$a_0^l(t, q) + a_1^l(t, q)\dot{q}_1 + \cdots + a_n^l(t, q)\dot{q}_n = 0, \qquad l = 1, \dots, s. \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$a_0^l = \frac{\partial f^l}{\partial t}, \quad a_i^l(t, q) = \frac{\partial f^l}{\partial q_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n)$ are local coordinates on Q.

In addition, suppose $k - s$ additional independent ideal (nonholonomic) constraints are given

$$a_0^l(t, q) + a_1^l(t, q)\dot{q}_1 + \cdots + a_n^l(t, q)\dot{q}_n = 0, \qquad l = s + 1, \dots, k. \tag{3.3}$$

As a result, the velocities of the system belong to the $(n - k)$-dimensional time-dependent affine distribution $\mathcal{D}_t \subset T\Sigma_t \subset TQ$.

The motion of the system is described by the Euler–Lagrange–d'Alambert equations

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \big(\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} - F_i\big)\xi_i = 0, \tag{3.4}$$

for all time-dependent vector fields $\xi = \sum_i \xi_i \partial/\partial q_i$, which satisfy the homogeneous constraints (so called *virtual displacements*)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^l(t, q)\xi_i = 0, \qquad l = 1, \dots, k. \tag{3.5}$$

Equivalently, the equations on $\mathcal{D}_t$ (or more precisely, on $\{(t, \mathcal{D}_t) \,|\, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$) are

$$\frac{d}{dt}\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial q_i} = F_i + R_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{3.6}$$

Here, F_i and

$$R_i = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \lambda_l(t, q, \dot{q})a_i(t, q)^l, \qquad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{3.7}$$

are the components of the nonconservative and the reaction force, respectively. The Lagrange multipliers $\lambda_l = \lambda_l(t, q, \dot{q})$ are determined from the condition that a motion $q(t)$ satisfy the constraints (3.1), (3.2), (3.3). We skip a discussion on the existence and the uniqueness of the Lagrange multipliers.

Let $\mathbb{F}L_t : TQ \to T^*Q$ be the *Legendre transformation*

$$\mathbb{F}L_t(t, q, \xi) \cdot \eta = \frac{d}{ds}|_{s=0} L(t, q, \xi + s\eta) \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad p_i = \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, n, \tag{3.8}$$

where $\xi, \eta \in T_qQ$ and $(q_1, \dots, q_n, p_1, \dots, p_n)$ are canonical coordinates of the cotangent bundle T^*Q. In order to have a Hamiltonian description of the dynamics we suppose that the Legendre transformation (3.8) is a diffeomorphism.

Let

$$\mathcal{M} = \{(t, \mathcal{M}_t) \,|\, t \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times T^*Q, \qquad \mathcal{M}_t = \mathbb{F}L_t(\mathcal{D}_t)$$

be the constrained manifold within $\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q$. It is defined by the equations (3.1) and

$$a_0^l(t,q)+a_1^l(t,q)\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_1}+\cdots+a_n^l(t,q)\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_n}=0,\qquad l=1,\dots,k. \tag{3.9}$$

In the canonical coordinates (q,p) of the cotangent bundle T^*Q, the equations of a motion (3.6) read:

$$\dot q_i=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i},\qquad \dot p_i=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_i}+F_i(t,q,p)+R_i(t,q,p),\qquad i=1,\dots,n, \tag{3.10}$$

where the Hamiltonian function $H(t,q,p)$ is the *Legendre transformation* of L

$$H(t,q,p)=\mathbb{F}L(t,q,\dot q)\cdot\dot q-L(t,q,\dot q)|_{\dot q=\mathbb{F}L^{-1}(t,q,p)}, \tag{3.11}$$

and $F_i(t,q,p)=F_i(t,q,\dot q)|_{\dot q=\mathbb{F}L^{-1}(t,q,p)}$, $R_i(t,q,p)=R_i(t,q,\dot q)|_{\dot q=\mathbb{F}L^{-1}(t,q,p)}$. In other words, on the constrained manifold $\mathcal{M}$ we have a system of the form (2.6), where Z is a section of the characteristic line bundle $\ker d(pdq-Hdt)$ given by (2.5) and the perturbation vector field is

$$P=\sum_{i=1}^n\big(F_i(t,q,p)+R_i(t,q,p)\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}.$$

Let $(t,q,p)\in\mathcal{M}$. Define vector bundles $\mathcal{V}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ over $\mathcal{M}$ by

$$\mathcal{V}_{(t,q,p)}=\big\{\tau\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\sum_i\xi_i\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}+\eta_i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\,|\,a_0^l(t,q)\tau+\sum_i a_i^l(t,q)\xi_i=0,\ l=1,\dots,k\big\},$$

$$\mathcal{R}_{(t,q,p)}=\big\{\tau\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\sum_i\xi_i\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}+\eta_i\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}\,|\,\sum_i R_i(t,q,p)\big(\xi_i-\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\tau\big)=0\big\}.$$

We refer to $\mathcal{V}$ as a *admissible distribution* over $\mathcal{M}$, since the tangent bundle of a curve $(t,q(t),p(t))$ belongs to $\mathcal{V}$ if and only if $q(t)$ satisfies the constraints (3.1), (3.3). The vector bundle $\mathcal{R}$ not need to be regular: $\mathcal{R}_{(t,q,p)}$ is either a hyperplane or a whole tangent space $T_{(t,q,p)}\mathbb{R}\times T^*Q$. Following [**13**], we call $\mathcal{R}$ *reaction-annihilator distribution* over $\mathcal{M}$ (see the Section 4 below).

Lemma 3.1. *We have the inclusion $\mathcal{V}\subset\mathcal{R}$.*

Proof. Let $\xi\in\mathcal{V}_{(t,q,p)}$. Then, from (3.7) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^n R_i\xi_i=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{l=1}^k\lambda_l a_i^l\xi_i=-\tau\sum_{l=1}^k\lambda_l a_0^l.$$

On the other hand, from (3.9) we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^n R_i\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}=\sum_{i=1}^n\sum_{l=1}^k\lambda_l a_i^l\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}=-\sum_{l=1}^k\lambda_l a_0^l,$$

which implies $\xi\in\mathcal{R}_{(t,q,p)}$. □

Let

$$\zeta=\tau(t,q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\sum_i\xi_i(t,q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}+\eta_i(t,q,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$$

be a weak Noether symmetry of the Hamiltonian system with the Hamiltoian (3.11) restricted to $\mathcal{M}$:

$$L_\zeta(pdq-Hdt+\beta)=df|_{\mathcal{M}}, \tag{3.12}$$

where β a closed 1-form and f smooth function in the extended phase space.

From Theorem 2.2 we get.

THEOREM 3.1. (i) *The derivative of the Noether function* $J = \sum_i p_i\xi_i - H\tau + \beta(\zeta) - f$ *along the flow of* (3.10) *equals*

$$\frac{dJ}{dt}\big|_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(F_i + R_i)(\xi_i - \dot{q}_i\tau)|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

(ii) *If* $\zeta|_{\mathcal{M}}$ *is a section of the admissible distribution* $\mathcal{V}$*, or more generally, a section of* $\mathcal{R}$*, the derivative of the Noether function is given by*

$$\frac{dJ}{dt}\big|_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i(\xi_i - \dot{q}_i\tau)|_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

In particular, if $F \equiv 0$*, the Noether function* J *is conserved along the flow if and only if* ζ *is a section of* $\mathcal{R}$*.*

PROOF. (i) We have

$$\begin{aligned} d\alpha(P,\zeta) =& (dp\wedge dq - dH\wedge dt)(P,\zeta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} dp_i(P)dq_i(\zeta) - dH(P)dt(\zeta) \\ =& \sum_{i=1}^{n}(F_i + R_i)\big(\xi_i - \tau\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\big) = \sum_{i=1}^{n}(F_i + R_i)(\xi_i - \dot{q}_i\tau), \end{aligned}$$

where we used $dq_i(P) = dt(P) = 0$.

(ii) The proof follows directly from item (i) and Lemma 3.1. □

In the classical Noether theorem, without constraints and nonconservative forces, one consider the invariance of the action functional $\int_{t_0}^{t_1} L(t,q(t),\dot{q}(t))dt$ under the transformations induced by a time-dependent vector field on $\mathbb{R}\times Q$ (see [**8**])

$$\hat{\xi} = \tau\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \xi = \tau(t,q)\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_i \xi_i(t,q)\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i}. \tag{3.13}$$

This is equivalent to the condition that ζ is a Noether symmetry of the Poincaré–Cartan 1-form (e.g., see Proposition 2.2 in [**20**]):

$$L_\zeta(pdq - Hdt) = 0, \tag{3.14}$$

where ζ is the prolongation of (3.13) given by

$$\zeta = \tau\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_i \xi_i\frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} + \sum_i \big(H\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial q_i} - \sum_j \frac{\partial\xi_j}{\partial q_i}p_j\big)\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}. \tag{3.15}$$

Note that for a given vector field (3.13) and its prolongation (3.15), the equation (3.14) is equivalent to the invariance condition (Proposition 2.2, [**20**])

$$L_\zeta H = p\frac{\partial\xi}{\partial t} - H\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial t} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i\frac{\partial\xi_i}{\partial t} - H\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial t}. \tag{3.16}$$

Assume that (3.16) holds at the constrained manifold $\mathcal{M}$. From Theorem 3.1, we have that the *momentum equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big(\sum_j \xi_j(q,t)p_j - \tau(t,q)H(t,q,p)\big)|_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i\xi_i|_{\mathcal{M}} \tag{3.17}$$

is satisfied if and only if $\zeta|_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a section of $\mathcal{R}$, that is, if and only if

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i\big(\xi_i - \tau\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_i}\big) = \sum_{l=1}^{k}\lambda_l\big(a_0^l\tau + \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^l\xi_i\big) = 0|_{\mathcal{M}}. \tag{3.18}$$

Here we used the expressions for R_i given by (3.7) and constraints (3.9).

Since $\hat{\xi} = (\tau, \xi)$ does not depend on the momenta, the equation (3.18) should be satisfied in all points (t, q, p) of $\mathcal{M}$ with the same coordinates (t, q). Let $\Sigma = \{(t, \Sigma_t) |\, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and let $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{t,q}$ be the subspace of $T_{(t,q)}\mathbb{R} \times Q$ at $(t, q) \in \Sigma$, determined with all possible equations (3.18). It is obvious that $\hat{\mathcal{R}}_{t,q} \subset \hat{\mathcal{V}}_{t,q}$, where $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{t,q} \subset T_{(t,q)}\mathbb{R} \times Q$ is defined similarly as the admissible distribution $\mathcal{V}$ over $\mathcal{M}$: $\hat{\xi} = (\tau, \xi)$ belongs to $\hat{\mathcal{V}}_{t,q}$ if and only if $a_0^l(t, q)\tau + \sum_i a_i^l(t, q)\xi_i = 0$, $l = 1, \dots, k$. Let $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ be the associated *reaction-annihilator* and *admissible* distributions over Σ.

Thus, we obtain the following statement.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let* (3.13) *be a symmetry of the Hamiltonian: H satisfies invariance equation* (4.2) *at $\mathcal{M}$, where ζ is the prolongation of* (3.13) *given by* (3.15). *Then the momentum equation* (3.17) *holds if and only if $\hat{\xi}|_\Sigma$ is a section of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$. In particular, if $F \equiv 0$ and $\hat{\xi}|_\Sigma$ is a section of $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$, the Noether function J is conserved.*

In the next section we shall consider two important special cases of Theorem 3.2, which are variants of some well known statements in nonholonomic mechanics.

4. Examples

4.1. Nonholonomic Noether theorem. If $\tau \equiv 0$ in the symmetry field (3.13), we have a time-dependent vector field $\xi = \sum_j \xi_j(t, q)\partial/\partial q_j$ on Q and the integral linear in momenta $J = \sum_j \xi_j(t, q)p_j$. A well known expression for the associated Noether symmetry is

$$\zeta = \sum_i \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial q^i} p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}, \tag{4.1}$$

while the invariance condition (3.16) becomes

$$L_\zeta H = p\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i \frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial t}. \tag{4.2}$$

In particular, when ξ does not depend on time, (4.2) reduces to the invariance of the Hamiltonian function with respect to the flow of (4.1) on the cotangent bundle T^*Q, or equivalently, the invariance of the Lagrangian L under the action of the flow of ξ that is extended to the tangent bundle TQ. In that case, $J = \sum_j \xi_j(q)p_j$ is the standard momentum map of the action of the symmetry field ξ (see [**1, 24**]).

For $\tau \equiv 0$, the equation (3.18) takes the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_i \xi_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{k} \lambda_l(t, q, p) a_i^l(t, q)\xi_i = 0|_{\mathcal{M}}. \tag{4.3}$$

As above, since ξ does not depend on the momenta, the condition (4.3) should be satisfied in all points (t, q, p) of $\mathcal{M}$ with the same coordinates (t, q). Let $\mathcal{R}^0_{t,q}$ be the subspace of T_qQ at the point $q \in \Sigma_t$, determined with all possible equations (4.3), and let $\mathcal{R}^0_t = \cup_{q \in \Sigma_t} \mathcal{R}^0_{t,q}$.

In the case of time-independent constrained Lagrangian systems, the distribution $\mathcal{R}^0_t$ is defined by Fasso, Ramos, and Sansonetto in [**13, 14**], where it is referred as the *reaction-annihilator distribution*. We keep the same notation.

Note that the affine distribution $\mathcal{D}_t \subset T\Sigma_t$ can be seen as a sum $\mathcal{D}^0_t + \xi^0_t$, where ξ^0_t is a time-dependent vector field, section of $\mathcal{D}_t$, and $\mathcal{D}^0_t$ is a $(n - k)$-dimensional distribution of $T\Sigma_t$ defined by (3.5) (*distribution of virtual displacements*). Obviously, the distribution of virtual displacements $\mathcal{D}^0_t$ is a subset of $\mathcal{R}^0_t$.

Thus, we get

COROLLARY 4.1. *Let ξ be a symmetry of the Hamiltonain H: the invariance equation* (4.2) *is satisfied on $\mathcal{M}$, where ζ is the prolongation* (4.1) *of ξ. The momentum equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\big(\sum_j \xi_j(q,t)p_j\big)|_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} F_i\xi_i|_{\mathcal{M}} \tag{4.4}$$

holds if and only if $\xi|_{\Sigma_t}$ is a section of the reaction-annihilator distribution $\mathcal{R}^0_t$, i.e., in the case when $F \equiv 0$, the function $\sum_j p_j\xi_j$ is the first integral of the constrained system (3.10) *if and only if $\xi|_{\Sigma_t}$ is a section of reaction-annihilator distribution $\mathcal{R}^0_t$. In particular, if $F \equiv 0$ and $\xi|_{\Sigma_t}$ is a section of the distribution of virtual displacements $\mathcal{D}^0_t$, $\sum_j p_j\xi_j$ is the first integral of the system.*

The statement is referred as a *nonholonomic Noether theorem*, usually formulated for time-independent case and under the condition that ξ is a symmetry of the nonconstrained system as well, induced by the action of an one-parameter subgroup of the Lie group G of symmetries acting on the configuration space Q (see [**1, 4**]). One of the first variants of the nonholonomic Noether theorem is given by Kozlov and Kolesnikov [**22**]. They considered a natural mechanical system of N material points, $Q = \mathbb{R}^{3N}$, with symmetries that are infinitesimal isometries of $\mathbb{R}^{3N}$ with respect to the metric induced by the kinetic energy. For the rigid body examples, see, e.g., [**6**]. The Chaplygin rolling ball problem in $\mathbb{R}^n$ is an illustrative example of the reduction of symmetries of the preserved nonholonomic momentum map, see [**18, 19**].

The situation where $J = \sum_j p_j\xi_j$ is not an integral of nonconstrained system, while it is the integral of the constrained one appears in the case of gauge symmetries of nonholonomic systems studied in [**2, 3, 14**], in particular, Corollary 4.1 for nonholonomic systems with affine time-independent constraints and $F \equiv 0$ can be found in [**14**]. Thus, we can consider Theorems 3.1, 3.2 as a generalization of gauge symmetries to the time-dependent symmetries, as well as to symmetries which are related to integrals that are not linear in momenta.

EXAMPLE 4.1. As an illustration, consider a motion of a material point with the position vector $\mathbf{r} = (x, y, z)$, mass m and electric charge q in $\mathbb{R}^3$ under the influence of a homogeneous gravitational field $\mathbf{F} = mg\mathbf{k}$ in the direction of a unit vector $\mathbf{k} = (k_x, k_y, k_z)$ and a magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = B\mathbf{e}_z = (0, 0, B)$. The Newtonian equations of a motion are $\dot{\mathbf{p}} = mg\mathbf{k} + \epsilon\dot{\mathbf{r}} \times \mathbf{e}_z$, where $\epsilon = qB$, $\mathbf{p} = m\dot{\mathbf{r}} = (p_x, p_y, p_z)$. For the Hamiltonian we take the kinetic energy $H_0 = \frac{1}{2m}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p})$ and treat the gravitational field as a nonconservative force. Now, assume a motion is subjected to a time-dependent nonholonomic constraint: $a(t)y\dot{x} - \dot{z} + b(t) = 0$. The symmetry vector field $\xi = \mathbf{e}_y$ belongs to the distribution of virtual displacements. Thus, from the momentum equation $\dot{p}_y = F_y + B_y = mgk_y - \epsilon\dot{x}$, we get that $p_y - mgk_yt + \epsilon x$ is the integral of the system. We can take a combination of two symmetry vector fields $\xi = f\mathbf{e}_x + a(t)yf\mathbf{e}_z$, which also belongs to the distribution of virtual displacements. Let ζ be a prolongation of ξ. A function $f(t, x, y, z)$ should be found from the equation (4.2), under the condition $a(t)yp_x - p_z + mb(t) = 0$. For $b \equiv 0$, we have a solution $f = 1/\sqrt{1 + a(t)^2y^2}$ (ξ is a time-dependent gauge symmetry). Thus, the momentum equation reads

$$\frac{d}{dt}\Big(\frac{p_x}{\sqrt{1 + a(t)^2y^2}} + \frac{a(t)yp_z}{\sqrt{1 + a(t)^2y^2}}\Big)\Big|_{p_z = a(t)yp_x} = mg\frac{k_x + a(t)yk_z}{\sqrt{1 + a(t)^2y^2}} + \epsilon\frac{\dot{y}}{\sqrt{1 + a(t)^2y^2}}$$

and for $k_x = k_z = \epsilon = 0$, we have the additional gauge integral

$$\Big(\frac{p_x}{\sqrt{1 + a(t)^2y^2}} + \frac{a(t)yp_z}{\sqrt{1 + a(t)^2y^2}}\Big)\Big|_{p_z = a(t)yp_x}.$$

4.2. Conservation of energy. Let us suppose that the Hamiltonian H does not depend on time and that there is no influence of nonconservative forces: $F \equiv 0$. It is well known that $J = -H = i_\zeta(pdq - Hdt)$ is the integral of the non-constrained system related to the Noether symmetry $\zeta = \partial/\partial t$.

COROLLARY 4.2. (i) *The Hamiltonian is preserved if and only if the vector field ξ_t^0 is a section of the reaction-annihilator distribution $\mathcal{R}_t^0$. In particular, if the constraints* (3.1) *do not depend on time and the constraints* (3.3) *are homogeneous, the Hamiltonian is the first integral of the system.*

(ii) *Besides that H does not depend on time, assume that it has a symmetry vector field ξ: the equation* (4.2) *is satisfied on $\mathcal{M}$, where ζ is the prolongation* (4.1) *of ξ. Then the constrained system has a Noether integral $J = \sum_j \xi_j p_j - H$ if and only if $\xi|_{\Sigma_t}$ is a section of the affine bundle $\xi_t^0 + \mathcal{R}_t^0$. In particular, if $\xi|_{\Sigma_t}$ is a section of the affine bundle $\mathcal{D}_t$ determined by constraints, then J is the integral of the system.*

PROOF. (i) By taking $\xi \equiv 0$, item (i) directly follows from the second statement.

(ii) Let us consider a linear combination of two symmetry fields $\hat{\xi} = \partial/\partial t + \xi$ on $\mathbb{R} \times Q$ and its prolongation

$$\zeta = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \sum_i \xi_i \frac{\partial}{\partial q_i} - \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial \xi_j}{\partial q^i} p_j \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}.$$

According to Theorem 3.2, the constrained system has a Noether integral $J = i_\zeta(pdq - Hdt) = \sum_j \xi_j p_j - H$ if and only if $\hat{\xi}|_\Sigma$ is a section of $\hat{\mathcal{R}}$. Since ξ_t^0 satisfies the constraints (3.2), (3.3), it follows that the vector field $\xi|_{\Sigma_t} - \xi_t^0$ is a solution of (4.3) if and only if $\hat{\xi} = (1, \xi)$ is a solution of the equation (3.18).

Further, for the last statement, we note that the condition that $\hat{\xi}|_\Sigma$ is a section of the admissible distribution $\hat{\mathcal{V}}$ is the same as the condition that $\xi|_{\Sigma_t}$ is a section of $\mathcal{D}_t$. □

A variant of Corollary 4.2 is proved in [**14, 15**]. There, the Noether function $J = \sum_j \xi_j p_j - H$ is referred as a moving energy integral. For the conservation of energy in systems with affine constraints see also [**7**].

REMARK 4.1. The Hamiltonian is conserved also if in item (i) of Corollary 4.2 we assume the action of a gyroscopic force F ($\sum_i F_i \partial H/\partial q_i = 0$).

EXAMPLE 4.2. Let $H = H_0 + V = \frac{1}{2m}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}) - mg(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{r})$ be the total energy of a material point considered in Example 4.1. According to item (i) of Corollary 4.2 and the above remark, it is conserved for the nonholonomic problem with a time-dependent homogeneous constraint $a(t)y\dot{x} - \dot{z} = 0$.

REMARK 4.2. It would be interesting to have a geometrical setting for the Noether theorem in quasi-coordinates given in [**12, 27, 28**]. The case, where the symmetries are induced by the symmetry vector field ξ on the configuration space Q is treated in [**5**]. Our goal is also the analysis of a symmetry and integrability of the multidimensional rolling spheres problems introduced in [**21**].

Acknowledgments. The research was supported by the Serbian Ministry of Science Project 174020, Geometry and Topology of Manifolds, Classical Mechanics and Integrable Dynamical Systems.

References

[1] V. I. Arnold, V. V. Kozlov, A. Neishtadt, *Mathematical Aspects of Classical and Celestial Mechanics*. Dynamical Systems, III. Third Edition. Encyclopaedia Math. Sci. 3. Springer, Berlin, 2006.

[2] P. Balseiro, N. Sansonetto, *A Geometric Characterization of Certain First Integrals for Nonholonomic Systems with Symmetries*, SIGMA **12** (2016), 018, 14 pages, arXiv:1510.08314.
[3] L. Bates, H. Graumann, C. MacDonnell, *Examples of gauge conservation laws in nonholonomic systems*. Rep. Math. Phys. **37** (1996), 295–308.
[4] A.M. Bloch, P.S. Krishnaprasad, J.E. Marsden, R.M. Murray, *Nonholonomic mechanical systems with symmetry*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. **136** (1996), 21–99.
[5] A. M. Bloch, J. E. Marsden, D. V. Zenkov, *Quasivelocities and symmetries in nonholonomic systems*, Dynamical Systems, **24** (2009), 187–222.
[6] A. V. Borisov, I. S. Mamaev, *Symmetries and Reduction in Nonholonomic Mechanics*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, **20** (2015) 553–604
[7] A. V. Borisov, I. S. Mamaev, I. A. Bizyaev, *The Jacobi Integral in Nonholonomic Mechanics*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, **20** (2015) 383–400.
[8] F. Cantrjin, W. Sarlet, *Generalizations of Noether's theorem in classical mechanics*, SIAM Review **23** (1981) 467–494.
[9] F. Cantrjn, M. de Leon, M. de Diego, J. Marrero, *Reduction of nonholonomic mechanical systems with symmetries*, Rep. Math. Phys, **42** (1998), 25–45.
[10] M. Crampin, *Constants of the motion in Lagrangian mechanics*, Int. J. Theor. Phys. **16** (1977), No. 10, 741–754.
[11] M. Crampin, T. Mestdag *The Cartan form for constrained Lagrangian systems and the nonholonomic Noether theorem*, Int. J. Geom. Methods. Mod. Phys. **8** (2011), 897–923, arXiv:1101.3153.
[12] Dj. S. Djukić, *Conservation laws in classical mechanics for quasi-coordinates*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **56** (1974), 79–98.
[13] F. Fasso, A. Ramos, N. Sansonetto, *The reaction-annihilator distribution and the nonholonomic Noether theorem for lifted actions*. Regul. Chaotic Dyn. **12** (2007), 449–458.
[14] F. Fasso, N. Sansonetto, *Conservation of energy and momenta in nonholonomic systems with affine constraints*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, **20** (2015), 449–462, arXiv:1505.01172.
[15] F. Fasso, N. Sansonetto, *Conservation of 'moving' energy in nonholonomic systems with affine constraints and integrability of spheres on rotating surfaces*, J. Nonlinear Sci. **26** (2016), 519-544, arXiv:1503.06661.
[16] E. Fiorani, A. Spiro, *Lie algebras of conservation laws of variational ordinary differential equations*, J. Geom. Phys. **88** (2015), 56–75, arXiv:1411.6097
[17] G. Giachetta, *First integrals of non-holonomic systems and their generators*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **33** (2000) 5369-5389.
[18] S. Hochgerner, L. Garca-Naranjo, *G-Chaplygin systems with internal symmetries, truncation, and an (almost) symplectic view of Chaplygins ball*. J. Geom. Mech. **1** (2009) 35-53. arXiv:0810.5454.
[19] B. Jovanović, *Hamiltonization and Integrability of the Chaplygin Sphere in* $\mathbb{R}^n$, J. Nonlinear. Sci. **20** (2010) 569–593, arXiv:0902.4397.
[20] B. Jovanović, *Noether symmetries and integrability in Hamiltonian time-dependent mechanics*, Theoretical and Applied Mechanics, **43** (2016), DOI: 10.2298/TAM160121009J, arXiv:1608.07788.
[21] B. Jovanović, *Invariant measures of modified LR and L+R systems*, Regular and Chaotic Dynamics, **20** (2015), 542–552, arXiv:1508.04913.
[22] V.V. Kozlov, N.N. Kolesnikov, *On theorems of dynamics*. (Russian) J. Appl. Math. Mech. **42** (1978), no. 1, 28-33.
[23] Y. Kosmann-Schwarzbach, *The Noether Theorems, Invariance and Conservation Laws in the Twentieth Century*, Springer, New York, 2011.
[24] P. Libermann, C. Marle, *Symplectic Geometry, Analytical Mechanics*, Riedel, Dordrecht, 1987.
[25] E. Noether, *Invariante Variationsprobleme*, Nachrichten von der Königlich Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, Mathematisch-physikalische Klasse (1918), 235–257.
[26] C.-M. Marle, *On symmetries and constants of motion in Hamiltonian systems with nonholonomic constraints*. In *Classical and Quantum Integrability* (Warsaw, 2001), 223–242, Banach Center Publ. **59**, Polish Acad. Sci. Warsaw, 2003.
[27] Dj. Mušicki, *Noether's theorem for nonconservative systems in quasicoordinates*, Theoretical and Applied Mechanics **43** (2016), 1–17.
[28] S. Simić, *On Noetherian approach to integrable cases of the motion of heavy top*, Bull. Cl. Sci. Math. Nat. Sci. Math. No. 25 (2000), 133–156.

Mathematical Institute SANU, Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Kneza Mihaila 36, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia
E-mail address: bozaj@mi.sanu.ac.rs